

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,681	01/07/2005	Tadashi Kisen	263928US0PCT	9270
22850 7590 09/11/2007 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAMINER	
1940 DUKE STREET			LANGEL, WAYNE A	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1754	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/11/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/519,681	KISEN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Wayne Langel	1754			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEL	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 11) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 12) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 13) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 14) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 15) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 16) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 17) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 18) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 19) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 19) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 11) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 11) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 12) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 13) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 14) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 15) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 16) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 17) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 18) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 19) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 19) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 19) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 19) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 19) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 19) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 19) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 19) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 19) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 19) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 19) The specification is objected to by	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)		(DTO 110)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1-7-05. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/519,681

Art Unit: 1754

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Igarashi or Okada et al or Fujisou et al or Shioiri et al. No distinction is seen between the processes disclosed by Igarashi, Okada et al, Fujisou et al, and Shioiri et al, and that recited in applicants' claims. Igarashi, Okada et al, Fujisou et al, and Shioiri et al all discloses methods for desulfurizing liquid hydrocarbons such as kerosene or naphtha with a metallic desulfurizing agent, followed by desulfurizing the liquid hydrocarbon. (See the Abstract of each reference.)The desulfurization conditions disclosed in the references could be considered to be embraced by the formula as recited in applicants' claim 1. In any event, it would be obvious to determine suitable or optimum sesulfurizing conditions in the processes of Igarashi, Okada et al, Fujisou et al, and Shioiri et al such that the formula recited in claim 1 would be satisfied, since it would be within the skill of one of ordinary skill in the art to determine suitable or optimum temperature and pressure conditions in the process.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,681

Art Unit: 1754

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is indefinite as to what would constitute "test method for distillation at atmospheric pressure stipulated in JIS K2254 Petroleum products-Determination of distillation characteristics" since this standard is subject to change.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wayne Langel whose telephone number is 571-272-1353. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8 am - 3:30 pm Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,681 Page 4

Art Unit: 1754

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Wayne Langel
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1754